



Modelling climate impacts on ecosystems and biodiversity: light and dark sides

A. Provenzale, CNR IGG, Pisa





ECOPOTENTIAL

Improving future ecosystem benefits through Earth Observations





This project is funded by the European Union (Grant agreement No. 641762)

48 partners, 2015-2019

www.ecopotential-project.eu



ECOPOTENTIAL: Regional scale and Protected Areas



Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 641762



ECOPOTENTIAL in a nutshell: Make best use of Earth Observations to characterize the state and changes of ecosystems and improve their conservation and management



ECOPOTENTIAL in a nutshell (2015-2019)

In ECOPOTENTIAL, ecosystems are seen as "one (complex) physical system" with their environment, with cross-scale geospherehydrosphere-climatebiosphere interactions



48 partners 12 WPs

4 ecosystem types







PROTECTED AREAS from SPACE



SPACED Using Earth Observations to Protect Natural Landscapes

800

Remote sensing data and products



PA from space is a web map server showing and delivering all <u>data</u> and <u>metadata</u> produced within the project. This service is compliant with the Open Geospatial Consortium standards and allows visual analysis.

Natural Surface: 35.90

00

LULO

NDV

http://maps.ecopotential-project.eu/

COMPREHENSIVE DETECTION OF CHANGE OVER MULTIPLE TIME SERIES: EXAMPLE FROM DONANA NATIONAL PARK, SPAIN, USING SENTINEL-2



EODESM



WATER EXTENT CHANGE APRIL 2018-MAY 2018







Virtual Laboratory Platform

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche

All data, images, products and models are made available through the VLAB







Challenge: Estimate the impacts of climate change on ecosystems and the environment





Monitoring and measurements

Ecosystem theory

Data analysis and interpretation

Climate and environmental change scenarios

Ecosystem models

Future projections

Ecosystem response and change

Impact of climate change on ecosystems and the environment

Global climate and land-use change scenarios

Total precipitation annual mean 1951–2007

-180° -120°

Environmental (eco-hydro-carbon) models

Ecosystem response and change





A known unknown:

Scale mismatch between climate change (and its modelling) and local ecosystem response



Scale mismatch: the downscaling-impact chain **GLOBAL CLIMATE MODEL**



ECO-HYDROLOGICAL MODELS



REGIONAL CLIMATE MODELS



FIG. 10. (a) A snapshot of the forecasted rain field obtained from the LAM forecast and (b) one example of a downscaled field obtained by application of the RainFARM. The vertical scale indicates precipitation intensity (mm h⁻¹) and it is the same for the two fields.



STOCHASTIC / STATISTICAL DOWNSCALING

Scale mismatch: the downscaling-impact chain 5 CMIP5 GCMs, RCP4.5, RCP8.5 Euro-CORDEX – 11 km – 5 members



Specific eco models for each PA





FIG. 10. (a) A snapshot of the forecasted rain field obtained from the LAM forecast and (b) one example of a downscaled field obtained by application of the RainFARM. The vertical scale indicates precipitation intensity (mm h^{-1}) and it is the same for the two fields.

Stochastic downscaling for prec Interpolation with orography correction for temp



Projections of chamois population density in Gran Paradiso National Park



Troubles along the way...

The chain of uncertainties: data for model validation Summer precipitation (JJAS), Multiannual average 1998-2007



Palazzi E., von Hardenberg J., Provenzale A.:

Precipitation in the Hindu-Kush Karakoram Himalaya: Observations and future scenarios, JGR 2013

the spread of CMIP5 temperatures



Using Regional models: Snow on the Greater Alpine Region



Precipitation statistics from WRF (Pakistan Flood 2010)



Viterbo et al., JHM 2016



Statistical uncertainties in ecological models



Mode	I Intercept	InN _{t-1}	InN _{t-2}	SE _{t-1}	SS _{t-1}	SPt	T(July) _{t-1}	P(July) _{t-1}	T(Jan-Mar) _t	T(Apr-May) _t	var	. R ²	AICc
M1	-0.07±0.04			-0.19±0.04	-0.18±0.04						2	0.78	-50.53
M2	0.34±0.24		-0.25±0.14	-0.19±0.04	-0.19±0.04						3	0.83	-50.20
M3	-0.07±0.04			-0.19±0.04	-0.18±0.04			0.05±0.03			3	0.82	-49.28
M4	-0.07±0.04			-0.19±0.04	-0.17±0.04		-0.05±0.04				3	0.81	-48.51
M5	-0.07±0.04			-0.20±0.04	-0.18±0.04				-0.03±0.04		3	0.79	-47.28
M6	0.08±0.26	-0.10±0.16		-0.18±0.04	-0.17±0.04						3	0.78	-46.98

Simona Imperio, Radames Bionda, Ramona Viterbi, Antonello Provenzale, Alpine Rock Ptarmigan, PLOS One, 2013

Comments

Huge uncertainties in data, climate models, downscaling procedures, impact models: need for ensemble approaches, need for uncertainty estimates, need for caution in providing and interpreting results.

Need for **new approaches** to estimating future ecosystem responses What-if scenarios: what if temperature grows above 1.5 (or 2, or 3) °C with respect to pre-industrial?



Fires in Mediterranean Europe



Use of European datasets: EFFIS, CRU TS for SPEI National inventories Remote Sensing products



Marco Turco et al., PLOS ONE 2016 Scientific Reports 2017 Nature Communications 2018a, 2018b Int. J. Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 2019



Recent changes in Fire Occurrence in the Mediterranean: Burned Area



Figure 1. Correlations between detrended log(BA) and $SPEI_3(0, 8)$, the SPEI for an accumulation time scale of 3 months and calculated in August (8) of the coincident summer (i.e. with the time lag of 0 year). Only correlations that are collectively significant from an FDR test⁴⁵ are shown. This figure is created with Matlab version R2012a (http://www.mathworks.com/).

With a global temperature rise > 1.5 °C above pre-industrial, the estimated summer burned area in the Mediterranean can double



Fig. 4 Ensemble mean burned area changes. Burned area changes (%) for **a** the +1.5 °C case with the stationary model SM (i.e., using Eq. 3), (**b**) the +1.5 °C case with non-stationary model NSM (i.e., NSM). using Eq. (4), (**c**) the +2 °C case with SM, (**d**) the +2 °C case with NSM, (**e**) the +3 °C case with NSM, (**e**) the +3 °C case with SM, and **f** the +3 °C case with NSM. Dots indicate areas where at least 50% of the simulations (1000 bootstrap replications × the ensemble of RCMs) show a statistically significant change and more than 66% agree on the direction of the change. Coloured areas (without dots) indicate that changes are small compared to natural variations, and white regions (if any) indicate that no agreement between the simulations is found (similar to ref.⁷⁰)

Turco et al, Nature Communications, 2018

Global/Regional climate and environmental change scenarios

Another alternative: Vulnerability approach

Le Roy Poff et al, Nature Climate Change 2016

Eco-hydro models

Model internal parameter sensitivity (incl. initial condns)

Model sensitivity to "driver" values

Feedbacks of ecosystems on climate change

Global climate and land-use change scenarios



Environmental (eco-hydro-carbon) models

Ecosystem response and change





Climate models use smoothed versions of ecosystem dynamics (mainly vegetation)

Cross-scale feedbacks (Rietkerk et al 2011) (Soranno et al 2014)

> Do changes in small-scales affect large-scale behavior?

Thank you for your attention